

WAGNER CHOI & VERBRUGGE
CHUCK C. CHOI
ALLISON A. ITO
745 Fort Street, Suite 1900
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813
Telephone: (808) 533-1877
Facsimile: (808) 566-6900
cchoi@hibklaw.com
aito@hibklaw.com

Attorneys for EDMUND F. WARY,
Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF HAWAII

In re:

EDMUND F. WARY,
Debtor and
Debtor-in-Possession

Case No. 11-03310

(Chapter 11)

Confirmation Hearing

Date: September 17, 2012

Time: 9:30 a.m.

Judge: Hon. Robert J. Faris

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN SUPPORT
OF ORDER CONFIRMING DEBTOR'S SECOND AMENDED
PLAN OF REORGANIZATION DATED AS OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2012

The hearing on confirming ("Confirmation Hearing") of the Debtor's First Amended Plan of Reorganization Dated as of July 20, 2012, which has been amended by the Debtor's Second Amended Plan of Reorganization Dated as of

September 24, 2012 (“Plan”)¹, was held on September 17, 2012, at 9:30 a.m., before the Honorable Robert J. Faris, United States Bankruptcy Judge for the District of Hawaii. Appearances were as noted in the record. Having considered all of the pleadings filed in support and in opposition to confirmation, and based on the record in this case, the arguments and representations of counsel, Declaration of Edmund F. Wary in Support of Confirmation of Plan of Reorganization, and good cause appearing, the court now makes the following Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Edmund F. Wary (“Debtor”) is the debtor and debtor-in-possession in Chapter 11 Case No. 11-03310, which was commenced by the filing of a voluntary petition on December 29, 2011 under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the Bankruptcy Court for the District of Hawaii.

Approval of the Disclosure Statement, Solicitation:

Confirmation Hearing

2. On August 2, 2012, the Bankruptcy Court entered that certain *Order Approving Motion for Order Approving First Amended Disclosure Statement for First Amended Plan of Reorganization Dated as of July 20, 2012, Balloting Procedures and Scheduling Deadlines; Exhibits A-C* (“Solicitation Order”) that,

¹ Capitalized terms not herein defined shall have the meaning set forth in the Plan

among other things, (a) approved the Disclosure Statement pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 1125 and Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure (“Rule”) 3017, (b) established September 17, 2012, as the date for the Confirmation Hearing, (c) approved the form and method of notice of the confirmation hearing (“Confirmation Hearing Notice), (d) established procedures for soliciting and tabulating votes with respect to the Plan, and (e) established procedures governing the Confirmation Hearing.

3. In accordance with the Solicitation Order, the following items were transmitted: (a) the Disclosure Statement, (b) the Confirmation Hearing Notice (as defined in the Solicitation Order), and (c) with respect to those creditors in classes entitled to vote under the Plan and the Solicitation Order, a Ballot (as defined in the Solicitation Order). All of said documents were transmitted on or before August 3, 2012 to the appropriate parties. Such service was adequate and proper as provided by Bankruptcy Rule 3017(d), and no other or further notice is or shall be required.

4. On September 4, 2012, Bank of Hawaii filed an objection to the Debtor’s First Amended Plan dated as of July 20, 2012. *See* Docket # 79.

5. On September 10, 2012, the Debtor filed the *Declaration of Allison A. Ito Regarding Tabulation of Ballots*, attesting and certifying the method and results of the ballot tabulation for the Classes of Claims entitled to vote to accept or reject

the Plan (“Ballot Tabulation”). *See* Docket # 85. Votes to accept and reject the Plan have been solicited and tabulated fairly, in good faith, and in a manner consistent with the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and the Solicitation Order.

6. On September 10, 2012, the Debtor filed a *Confirmation Brief and Reply to Objection to First Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization dated as of July 20, 2012*. *See* Docket #86.

7. On September 24, 2012, the Debtor filed his ***Second Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization dated September 24, 2012***. *See* Docket #93.

8. This Bankruptcy Court takes judicial notice of the docket of the Chapter 11 Case maintained by the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court, including, without limitation, all pleadings and other documents filed, all orders entered, and evidence and argument made, proffered, or adduced at the hearings held before the Bankruptcy Court during the pendency of the Chapter 11 Case.

9. The Debtor has the burden of proving the elements of section 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code by a preponderance of evidence, and has done so as set forth herein.

10. To the extent not resolved or withdrawn, any objections to confirmation of the First Amended Plan are overruled in all respects.

Bankruptcy Code Requirements For Confirmation and Classification

11. In addition to Administrative Claims and Priority Tax Claims, which need not be classified, the Plan designates four (4) Classes of Claims (inclusive or subclasses) and one Class of Interests. The Claims and Interests placed in each Class are substantially similar to other Claims and Interests, as the case may be, in each such Class. Valid business, factual, and legal reasons exist for separately classifying the various classes of claims and Interests created under the Plan.

12. The Plan specifies that Class 3 is not impaired, thereby satisfying section 1123(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code. Said Classes are deemed to have accepted the Plan because they are not impaired under the Plan.

13. The Plan designates that Classes 1, 2, and 4, are impaired and specifies the treatment of Claims and Interests in those Classes, thereby satisfying section 1123(a)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code.

14. The interest of the existing equity holder of Debtor (Class 5) will be preserved under the Plan and Class 5 is deemed to have accepted the Plan.

15. Votes to accept and reject the Plan have been solicited from creditors holding Claims in Classes 1, 2, and 4. Such votes were solicited and tabulated fairly, in good faith, and in a manner consistent with the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, the Solicitation Order, and industry practices.

16. As set forth in the Ballot Tabulation, Classes 2 and 4 voted to accept the Plan.

Treatment of Secured Claims

17. The holders of Allowed Secured Claims (Classes 1, 2, and 3) will receive at least as much as they would receive in a case under chapter 7 with respect to those Secured Claims. The treatment of Class 1 and 2 is fair and equitable and does not unfairly discriminate against said Classes. In each case, the holders of claims in Classes 1 and 2 will retain their respective liens under the Plan and will receive deferred cash payments totaling at least the allowed amount of their respective claims as of the Effective Date of the Plan.

Unsecured Claims

18. The holders of Allowed General Unsecured Claims will receive substantially more than they would receive in a case under chapter 7 liquidation, because if the assets of the Debtor were liquidated outright, there would not receive sufficient funds to make a full distribution to Class 4. Under the Plan, Class 4 will receive approximately 33% of their allowed claims twenty (20) quarterly installments, beginning on the ninetieth day after the Effective Date. As a result, holders of Allowed Class 4 Claims will receive more under the Plan than they would receive if Debtor's case were converted to a case under chapter 7.

19. Class 5 will retain his Equity Interests under the Plan.

20. The Plan provides for the same treatment for each Claim or Equity Interests in each respective Class, unless the holder of a particular Claim or Equity Interest has agreed to a less favorable treatment of such Claim or Interest, thereby satisfying section 1123(a)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Means of Implementation

21. The Plan provides adequate and proper means for the Plan's implementation.

22. The Debtor has demonstrated adequate assurance of future performance with respect to any assumed agreements and leases. No party to an executory contract has objected to the Plan or the assumption or assignment of any executory contract or lease.

23. The Debtor exercised sound and considered business judgment in the formulation of the Plan. The Debtor has demonstrated sound business purpose and justification for the Plan, pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 363(b).

24. All fees payable under section 1930 of title 28, United States Code, as determined by the Bankruptcy Code, have been paid or will be paid pursuant to the Plan, thus satisfying the requirements of section 1129(a)(12) of the Bankruptcy Code.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Jurisdiction and Venue

25. This proceeding is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2).

26. The Bankruptcy Court has jurisdiction over the Chapter 11 Case pursuant to sections 157 and 1334 of title 28 of the United States Code. Venue is proper pursuant to sections 1408 and 1409 of title 28 of the United States Code. Confirmation of the Plan is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(L), and this Bankruptcy Court has exclusive jurisdiction to determine whether the Plan complies with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and should be confirmed and exclusive jurisdiction over all of the Debtor's assets.

Confirmation Requirements

Section 1129(a)(1)

27. The Plan complies with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, thereby satisfying section 1129(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

(a) The Plan properly places substantially similar claims in each class and designated such classes of claims, thereby satisfying sections 1122 and 1123(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code;

(b) The Plan specifies the treatment of each Class that is not impaired, thereby satisfying section 1123(a)(2);

(c) The Plan specifies the treatment of each Class that is impaired, thereby satisfying section 1123(a)(3);

(d) The Plan provides for the same treatment for each claim or interest in a particular Class, unless the holder thereof agrees to a less favorable treatment, thereby satisfying section 1123(a)(4);

(e) The Plan provides for the adoption and implementation of all corporate actions necessary to implement the Plan and the execution of all documents and the implementation of all actions as required with respect to, and in accordance with the Plan provisions, thereby satisfying section 1123(a)(5);

(f) Sections 1123(a)(6) and 1123(a)(7) do not apply to the Debtor;

(g) The Plan provides for the payment of a portion of the Debtor's personal services income, thereby satisfying section 1123(a)(8);

(h) The Plan's provisions are appropriate and not inconsistent with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code; and

(i) The Plan is dated and identifies the entities submitting it as proponents, thereby satisfying Bankruptcy Rule 3016(a).

Section 1129(a)(2)

28. The Debtor has complied with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, thereby satisfying section 1129(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code.

(a) The Debtor is a proper debtor under section 109 of the Bankruptcy Code;

(b) The Debtor has complied with applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, except as otherwise provided or permitted by orders of the Bankruptcy Court; and

(c) The Debtor has complied with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and the Solicitation Order in transmitting the Plan, the Disclosure Statement, the Ballots, and related documents and notices and in soliciting and tabulating votes on the Plan.

Section 1129(a)(3)

29. The Debtor has proposed the Plan in good faith and not by any means forbidden by law, thereby satisfying section 1129(a)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code. The good faith of the Debtor is evident from the facts and records of this Chapter 11 Case, the Disclosure Statement and the hearings thereon, and the record of the Confirmation Hearing and other proceedings held in this Chapter 11 Case. The Plan was proposed with the legitimate and honest purpose of maximizing the value of the Debtor's Estate and to effectuate a successful reorganization of the Debtor.

30. The injunctive provisions of the Plan and the Confirmation Order shall implement the Debtor's discharge when it is granted. Moreover, the Plan provides a mechanism for parties in this Chapter 11 Case to seek relief from the

injunctions.

Section 1129(a)(4)

31. Any payment made or to be made by the Debtor for services or for costs and expenses in or in connection with the Debtor's Chapter 11 Case, or in connection with the Plan and incident to the Debtor's Chapter 11 Case, has been approved by, or is subject to the approval of, the Bankruptcy Court as reasonable, thereby satisfying section 1129(a)(4).

Section 1129(a)(5)

32. Section 1129(a)(5) of the Bankruptcy Code is not applicable to the Debtor because the Debtor is an individual.

Section 1129(a)(6)

33. Section 1129(a)(6) of the Bankruptcy code is not applicable to the Debtor.

Section 1129(a)(7)

34. The Plan satisfies section 1129(a)(7) of the Bankruptcy Code. The liquidation analyses provided in the Disclosure Statement (a) are persuasive and credible, (b) have not been controverted by other evidence, and (c) establish that each holder of a Claim in an impaired Class either has accepted the Plan or will receive or retain under the Plan, on account of such Claim, property of a value, as of the Effective Date, that is not less than the amount that holder would receive or

retain if each Debtor was liquidated under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code on such date.

Section 1129(a)(8)

35. Classes 3 and 5 are conclusively presumed to have accepted the Plan under section 1126(f) of the Bankruptcy Code. Classes 1 and 4 have voted to accept the Plan in accordance with sections 1126(e) and (d) of the Bankruptcy Code. Class 2 has agreed to its treatment under the Plan as amended. No Class has voted to reject the Plan.

Section 1129(a)(9)

36. The treatment of Administrative Claims and Priority Tax Claims under the Plan satisfies the requirements of Bankruptcy Code section 1129(a)(9).

Section 1129(a)(10)

37. At least one Class of Claims against Debtor that is impaired under the Plan has accepted the Plan, determined without including any acceptance of the Plan by an insider, thus satisfying the requirements of section 1129(a)(10) of the Bankruptcy Code. Classes 1 and 4 satisfy this requirement.

Section 1129(a)(11)

38. The evidence proffered, adduced, or presented prior to and at the Confirmation Hearing (a) is persuasive and credible, and (b) establishes that confirmation of the Plan is not likely to be followed by the liquidation, or the need

for further financial reorganization, of the Debtor, thus satisfying the requirements of section 1129(a)(11) of the Bankruptcy Code.

39. In order to satisfy Bankruptcy Code section 1129(a)(11), the Plan Proponents need not prove that there is an absolute certainty that the conditions to confirmation will be met. On the contrary, the plan proponent need only show that the Plan offers a reasonable assurance of success. The Plan has the requisite level of likelihood of success.

Section 1129(a)(12)

40. All fees payable under section 1930 of title 28, United States Code, as determined by the Bankruptcy Court, have been paid or will be paid pursuant to the Plan, the terms of which are satisfactory to the Debtor and the United States Trustee, thus satisfying the requirements of section 1129(a)(12) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Section 1129(a)(13)

41. Bankruptcy Code section 1129(a)(13), which requires a plan to provide for the continuation of payment of all “retiree benefits” (as defined in Bankruptcy Code § 1114(a)), is inapplicable in the instant case as the Debtor does not have any such obligations.

Section 1129(b)

42. Upon confirmation and the occurrence of the Effective Date, the Plan

shall be binding upon the members of each Rejecting Class.

Other Matters

43. The principal purpose of the Plan is not the avoidance of taxes or the avoidance of the application of Section 5 of the Securities Act of 1933. No governmental agency with standing to raise an objection pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 1129(d) has, in fact, raised any such objection. This is strong evidence that the principal purpose of the Plan is not tax avoidance.

44. All modifications to the Plan filed or announced prior to the conclusion of the Confirmation Hearing constitute technical changes and/or changes that have either been consented to by affected constituents or which do not require additional disclosure under Bankruptcy Code sections 1125 or 1127(a), or re-solicitation of votes under Bankruptcy Code section 1126, nor do they require that holders of Claims or Interests be afforded an opportunity to change previously cast acceptances or rejections of the Plan.

45. Based on the record before the Bankruptcy Court in this Chapter 11 Case, the Debtor and his agents, representatives, and any professional persons employed or formerly employed by any of them, have acted in “good faith” within the meaning of Bankruptcy Code section 1125(e) in compliance with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules in connection with all their respective activities relating to the solicitation of acceptances to the

Plan and their participation in the activities described in section 1125 of the Bankruptcy Code, and are entitled to the protections afforded by section 1125(e) of the Bankruptcy Code.

46. Article VII of the Plan governing the assumption and rejection of executory contracts and unexpired leases satisfies the requirements of sections 365 and 1123(b)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code, including the requirement that adequate assurance of future performance under the Assumed Executory Contracts will be provided.

47. The release and injunction provisions contained in the Plan are fair and equitable, are given for valuable consideration, were properly noticed to holders of Claims and Interests and other interested parties in accordance with the requirements of due process and the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules, and are in the best interests of the Debtor and his Chapter 11 Estate.

48. Each term and provision of the Plan is valid and enforceable pursuant to its terms.


49. The Plan satisfies the requirements for confirmation set forth in section 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code.

50. The Debtor has satisfied the requirements of Bankruptcy Code section 365(b)(1) in connection with the assumption of the Assumed Executory

Contracts. Each of the Assumed Executory Contracts is an executory contract or an unexpired lease of the Debtor under Bankruptcy Code section 365. All conditions for the assumption by the Debtor of each Assumed Executory Contract to which it is a party have been satisfied.

51. The Bankruptcy Court may properly retain jurisdiction over the matters set forth in Section 10.1 of the Plan and Bankruptcy Code Section 1142. It is appropriate for this Court to retain jurisdiction to: (a) enforce and implement the terms and provisions of the Plan; (b) enforce the Assumed Executory Contracts; and (c) resolve any disputes arising under or related to the Plan.

52. Based on the foregoing findings and conclusions, it is appropriate for the Court to enter the Confirmation Order.

 **/s/ Robert J. Faris**
United States Bankruptcy Judge
Dated: September 27, 2012

In re Edmund F. Wary; Case No. 11-03310; FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF ORDER CONFIRMING DEBTOR'S SECOND AMENDED PLAN OF REORGANIZATION DATED AS OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2012